

The following article is slightly abridged from the November issue of Highlights (issue number 36), newspaper of the Communist Party of Iran (Marxist-Leninist-Maoist)

PUBLIC OPINION FABRICATION AND MILITARY ALIGNMENTS FOR A WICKED WAR

A new chapter of interior and international American propaganda has begun to make the military attack to Iran seem justified. The Iran issue and the U.S. threats against her constantly fill up the top of international headline news and the U.S. tags Iran as the "Greatest Security Threat against America." Most importantly, the Naval, Air and Ground forces have completed their warfare instrumental preparations, targets of their bombings and missiles are already set, their terrible paramilitary groups that are located in exploited nations' lands that are set by the "Special Forces" of Israel and America, organized and readied for beginning of a war. So that, when and if the war begins, people become confused, battling forces paralyzed and people's heart frighten and terrorized through their atrocities.

Many people are wondering if this time the U.S. will make their threats in reality emerge, and

begin its attack in Iranian soil. This question has a simple answer: The political, diplomatic and military activities of America expose its will of military attack to Iran. It is rolling its inside and international policies upon this very basis. Hence, there is not a reason for us to, alike the Islamic Republic officials, put people into sleep saying as if the military alignment and American military diplomacy is a truth less factor.

American War Machine is like a train on its railroad and sometimes moves toward its goals (antagonistic facing with Iran) and rides segments of its road and, sometimes it stops when it runs into obstacles. America could make its military attack against Iran before the end Bush's presidency (Dec. 2008) or, let the next administration to have it done. It is also possible that some Iranian or global occurrences divert the war machine completely out of its currently apparent railroad. (For example appearance of revolutionary conditions inside of Iran; escalations of peoples' struggles against Bush or, occurrence of severe contradictions within American official rulers could cause that.) But until such happenings don't come about, we are inside of situations in which, a possible war of

the U.S. against Iran is still counted in retrospective to the existing international and Iranian outlook. To have clearer presentation for example let us glance over the "Cold War" era. The "Cold War" between western imperialism block under leadership of the United States of America and, Eastern imperialist block led by Soviet Union was the times when both parties were making preparations for a Nuclear War. In 1983 both parties were nearest to the point of pressing the buttons for war than ever. But the war did not occur and instead of a Third World War, the saga ended with the downfall of the Soviet Union and its eastern block. But all through the cold war era, such confrontation overshadowed the global political outlines and it even had its determining factor in different countries (including Iran) class alignments and to produce its independent line the proletariats had to pay attention to this matter and take a revolutionary political position against it and, was making preparations to turn reactionary warfare into a revolutionary war. The war has not begun but, the war process has had its determining effect unto political and class alignments in Iran and still will act so. It is enough to take a look into inside of the leftist political forces and see what outstanding

metamorphosis have come about in some of the leftist forces of Iran. Some have turned into American imperialist and Israelite security agents and some others lobby for Islamic Republic in Washington D.C. Regardless of being anybody or any political party institutions, the fact of the matter remains to be the fact that the US War machine is already settled unto the railroad and is ready to start moving and any party or organization that intends to turn the current conditions in Iran into revolutionary conditions and, is trying to make it such that the future will take its shape with a revolution and not with reactionaries and imperialism, it ought to have the matter inside of a class struggle perspective and present a clear line in its regard. The country's future directions depends on the fact that if the revolutionary political movement of ours, and the communist movement in particular, is able to unite the streams of the struggle of workers, toilers, women and intellectuals, and peasants and oppressed national groups and turn it into a flood to overthrow the Islamic Republic regime and by holding the helm of social ship, to stand against US imperialist wicked plans, or not.

Mind frame creation and war forces preparations

Since last summer the office of Bush's vice president, Dick Cheney's has commanded propaganda warfare against Iran in order to create the mind frame of war necessity against Iran. Media quote Dick Cheney saying that attracting the attention of only 30% of public onions in the US is enough to start warfare against Iran. Based on Seymour Hirsh's knowledge (New Yorker, Oct. 8) Dick Cheney's Office has ordered the U.S. Military Forces Chief to prepare a plan for a possible attack. Hirsh writes that in the new military plans, instead of widespread bombing, the term "Surgical Mission" against Guard's Army (of the Islamic Revolution) has been used. This also is another one of American State's course of action to make this wicked crime seem to appear "Popular." Based upon Hirsh's report, Bush has recently told Ryan Crocker, the U.S. ambassador in Iraq that he has idea to attack certain goals on the "other side" of the border in which, the Brits will also cooperate. Based on Hirsh's saying, details of a military attack to Iran "includes the usage of Cruz missiles shot from the sea, and Air Force attacks to destroy most of the Islamic Guards Training Centers, its warehouses, and its command and control centers. Planes, ships and the Cruise

missiles of the U.S. Navy are reading up in the gulf performing their daily tasks. And even AWACK planes are located at the designated spots. Everyday the navy flies up its F18 jets for their missions. Air attacks will be accompanied with a set of "short and quick attacks" by "Special Forces" units toward the Guards' training centers (ibid.) According to Telegraph's Daily paper of England, recently a conference consisting of the US Air Force and Gulf military leaders was held up for "Training and preparation of the Arabic Air Forces for a possible war with Iran." (Sept 30th)

Bush's regime recently has also produced in important new coverage for the war against Iran. Before this change's coming, the U.S. propaganda's focus was over the danger of Iran's achievement of Nuclear (Weapons,) and was busy trying to rationalize and justify its "prevention warfare" against the Islamic Republic regime. But nowadays, it has focused its propaganda toward Guard's Army's interference in the Iraq's inside affairs. America claims that the Islamic Republic is busy moving forward an indirect war against American and Iraqi forces and, the "GHODS Army", that is the Guards Army's outside border fore has a dynamic military role in training and execution of anti American operations in Iraq. The U.S. Congress has

announced numerous times that any "preventing warfare" against Iran executed by the Bush administration is illegal. That is why the Bush regime is busy decorating the coverage of its war under "defense of our forces" or "war against terrorism". The U.S. government's putting the Guards Army in the list of terrorists, both for imposition of economical sanctions against Iran (since in fact the army of guards is a financial, industrial oligarchy) and also for production of a public cover up "across the border attacks of America upon the Iranian soil seem to appear legalized."

Is this war's hazard serious?

Draft of an attack to Iran has been on the white house's "table" for some years already. Loss of some of the U.S. plans in Iraq has placed the current U.S. leaders in a difficult position in regard to beginning another war in the Middle East. Based on official statistics the Bush administration's popularity has declined vastly and is not more than 20 percent. To an extent, the imperialist powers in Europe are against this war and Russia appears to be against it. There is serious opposition to starting a war in Iran within the U.S. official rulers. It is to such degree

that recently a group of highest ranking U.S. military officers have produced a statement in which the American soldiers and officers are called to, on attacking Iran to refuse to comply, in correspondence with International Nuremburg Law. (Based upon international Nuremburg Law that is signed by the US as well, following illegal military orders equals warfare transgressions. Based upon this very law it was when after the Second World War the Nazi officers were sentenced to death and treated as such.) Even, between neo cones who were the main architects of war in Iraq, a disagreement has occurred. Michael Laden is definitely against that war and believes that "regime changing in Iran" should come about through "inside revolution."

With all said though, several reports from around the world indicates that attacking Iran is getting more serious. Serious problems of the U.S. in Iraq and serious oppositions within the U.S. have mellowed out her war planning against Iran but, it has not been defied. One of the less reputable senators of the U.S called Senator Govben said: "When they (Bush administration guys) are saying "All choices are on the table," they mean that there is a chance of a nuclear attack as well."

Islamic Republic

Similar to the way Saddam Hussein used to bully exaggerations with which, Ahmadinejad and his peers fill people's ears with lullabies such as, "today the enemy is hopeless and all their threats are based on their own fears." (Etemad Daily, March 24th)

But what is the reality behind all these bullies and exaggerations?

Firstly, similar to the U.S. imperialists, these reactionaries have not gotten "good" choice(s) to make in front of them. Their confrontation with the U.S. brings both their inside contradictions, i.e. the regime vs. the people (of Iran,) and inside rulers' contradictions that are escalated but, still retreating to the American demands for them would be like committing suicide.

Secondly, one of the Islamic Republic's trends bluffing and bulling is based upon the fallacious analysis through which they assume Russia will support the Islamic Republic and generally, all of the American opposing regimes. Another sector of the Iran ruling regime sees a war's occurrence as its own survival trail since, the war can put aside the inside problems that threaten the regime's fall

temporarily. Under the pressure of inside and outside pressure, the different trends of the regime are conspiring days and nights to kick on the rival one out of the arena. The differences of ruling sector of the regime are so obvious that for which, once Ahmadinejad complained by saying: "Some of the insiders ask America to increase its pressure upon us!" Some others, (such as Ahmadinejad's trend) look upon the U.S. attack as the chance to confront and settle inside matters. Some other (like Rafsanjani) see it as a catalyst for a military coup de tat.

Is Russian Regime against the US military attack?

The U.S. and Russia have serious conflicts, but Iran per se is not their center point of conflicts. The Russia is determined to use Iran as its pawn in the global chess game competition among the imperialist powers. Although Russia is concerned about the U.S. America's complete domination in the Middle East but, Iran pawn usage in the game has other advantages for her that are beyond the Middle Eastern boundaries. Russia wants to get her own chunk of the world for domination. Russia wants to gain important economic and geopolitical privileges such as expansion of the Russian Capital such as, expansion of the Russian Capitol in the global oil

and natural gas cartels and, in Europe. Economy in return for her being in peace along the U.S., in regard to the matter of Iran. In fact Russia's opposing the economical sanctions or military attacks against Iran is her showing off in the world stage. Political and military show offs' are part of condition necessary to accumulate capital in the era of imperialism.

The U.S. imperialism which is borrowing from the "future" to develop her current plans is also able to fulfill Russia's imperialist thirst as well. Some of the Iran matters analyzers believe that the Islamic Republic gives major benefits to Russia to keep her next to itself. One of these is its acceptance of Russian conditions in regard to the Caspian Sea's division. Doubtlessly, if the U.S. decides to attack Iran, the Russia will not stand against her. October 17th speech of Bush that came a day after Putin's visiting Iran (to participate in Caspian Sea's Countries Conference) exposed a very major aspect of the U.S. status vs. Islamic republic's regime, in other words it showed that the security and bounds of the U.S. contradiction with Iran is originated from the larger plan of the U.S., that is her desired boundless domination of the world. In response to Putin's visiting Tehran, and words through which he had declared any sort of

attacking Iran are forbidden, Bush stated that if Iran does not take hands of its nuclear program, the Third World War will start. This threat is clearly a threat against Russia, not against Iran. Bush said: "We have disagreements against Russia on numerous problems but, on two matters we agree on completely: on Iran, and on Nuclear Weapon's expansion all around the world." Of course on both grounds they have disagreements. But the U.S. intends to insist it is not willing to give any points to Russia over these two indicated fields.

The Big attack or big agreement!

Guardian, the daily London paper described the future U.S. and Iran's confrontation under such headline: **The Big attack or big conciliation!**

Obviously the Islamic Republic rulers will not put aside any attempts in order to reach a consent/concession with America; and with no doubt, Bush's administration is also looking into a concession with powerful circles within the Iran ruling sector. Especially since the Iraq experience exposed the fact that manufacturing a new gang of reactionary rulers and military and security order is not easy to accomplish. The

possibility of a great agreement between America and the Islamic Republic is not omitted at all.

But such conciliation would be not necessarily in contradiction with a "Big Attack".

Some other Middle East political and military experts look upon the matter as more multifaceted. Their prediction counts into its account the probability that the U.S. attacking Iran can immediately turn into a long scale war with Iran or even end up with an endless region war. These experts proclaim that Iran's any sort of actions for revenge will be the war's ignition. Clearly Brits and Americans will immediately occupy the Hormoz Strait and Gulf Shores in order to prevent occurrence of disarray in the oil and trade ships or, for example, Pakistan starts a war with Afghanistan to prevent its instabilities escalation or, Turkey enters Iraqi soil under P.K.K repressing cover and, Iran also enters Iraq or Afghanistan.

Foreseeing that such solution surely pulls controlling off of the U.S. hands is the factor that makes her hesitant to push the war machine's button.

But the crises ridden U.S. Capitalist-Imperialist that is searching for remedies to resolve self's

problems and she hardly can place the long term image in he own calculations.

We ought to analyze and dig into processes in the Materialist (Dialectical) fashion. The above indicated major factors can change the current war's process and path. But the US' wicked planning does not only end up in a military warfare. A political and economical reconstruction is on top of the U.S. agenda and to achieve it she is adamantly willing to get into Iran's affairs, be it with war or without it and, speak her own final words.

Tens of millions of Iranians' lives obliteration will only begin with the economic reconstruction. The Middle Eastern warfare's goals are not unrelated with the globalization of the world's capital. Capitalism needs to accumulate quicker and on higher ratio and it needs to change the ME's political and economical basis. Millions of humans will be victimized under capitalist's glory; first through wars and when they end up through "economical reforms."

Struggling to prevent the U.S. war is (only) a segment of the struggle against such horrendous

future. Any sincere human who looks upon this scene from any angle-point realizes that no choice is there for the majority of Iranian people except a revolution; a revolution to overthrow the Islamic Republic, put the power into the hands of toilers and, reconstruct the social economy in a way to meet people's needs ends and not the world market interest its going around and the destructive wheels of that into the international capital.

Such rebellion will be a bloody revolution. But without such revolution the blood shed from bodies of the workers, peasants and all Iranian peoples will be much more extensive than currently fits into minds and imaginations. The challenge of communist forces and revolutionaries is as the following; under what plan and program should the proletariat, toilers, women and oppressed Nationalities of Iran struggle to achieve a different tomorrow to come about?

Masses of the workers, toilers and oppressed Iranian Nationalities must lead forward and pass their ship of destiny through this complex setting. Guiding the ship's helm is the Communists' obligation since only sincere and revolutionary communists are able to expose the contents of all gang of imperialists, reactionaries and all their

policies fillings through alertness, foreseeing through distant horizons of time, space and, major sacrifices. If the communists do not place their obligation upon their shoulders, then we shall witness one of the most horrendous chapters in the class struggle in Iran.

Communist Comrades, a progress through which we can unite broad masses of people and, the principles of the future society for which we out to fight is immediately essential.

www.Sarbedaran.org